National Park Service
U.S. Department of the Interior

Natural Resource Program Center

Monitoring of Rocky Intertidal Communities of Redwood National and State Parks, California

2008 Annual Report

Natural Resource Report NPS/KLMN/NRTR—2011/434

ON THE COVER
Researchers sampling the intertidal community at Damnation Creek, Redwood National and State Parks.
Photograph by: D. Lohse.

Monitoring of Rocky Intertidal Communities of Redwood National and State Parks, California

2008 Annual Report

Natural Resource Report NPS/KLMN/NRTR—2011/434

Karah Ammann, Research Assistant
Dr. Peter Raimondi, Principal Investigator
Dr. David Lohse, Research Scientist

Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology
Center for Ocean Health/Long Marine Lab
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA, 95060

February 2011

U.S. Department of the Interior
National Park Service
Natural Resource Program Center
Fort Collins, Colorado

The National Park Service, Natural Resource Program Center publishes a range of reports that address natural resource topics of interest and applicability to a broad audience in the National Park Service and others in natural resource management, including scientists, conservation and environmental constituencies, and the public.

The Natural Resource Technical Report Series is used to disseminate results of scientific studies in the physical, biological, and social sciences for both the advancement of science and the achievement of the National Park Service mission. The series provides contributors with a forum for displaying comprehensive data that are often deleted from journals because of page limitations.

All manuscripts in the series receive the appropriate level of peer review to ensure that the information is scientifically credible, technically accurate, appropriately written for the intended audience, and designed and published in a professional manner. Data in this report were collected and analyzed using methods based on established, peer-reviewed protocols and were analyzed and interpreted within the guidelines of the protocols.

Views, statements, findings, conclusions, recommendations, and data in this report do not necessarily reflect views and policies of the National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use by the U.S. Government.

This report is available from the Klamath I&M Network (http://science.nature.nps.gov/im/units/klmn/index.cfm) and the Natural Resource Publications Management website (http://www.nature.nps.gov/publications/nrpm/).

Please cite this publication as:

Ammann, K.N., P.T. Raimondi and D. Lohse. 2011. Monitoring of rocky intertidal communities of Redwood National and State Parks, California: 2008 Annual report. Natural Resource Technical Report NPS/KLMN/NRTR—2011/434. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.

NPS 167/106885, February 2011

Contents

Figures

Page

Tables

Page

Executive Summary

This report presents the results of the monitoring surveys done in 2008 of the rocky intertidal community at three sites within the Redwood National and State Parks (RNSP) in Del Norte County, California. These sites are part of MARINe (Multi-Agency Rocky Intertidal Network), a regional intertidal monitoring network sponsored by the Minerals Management Service (MMS), with additional funding and support from local and state governments, universities, and private organizations (see www.marine.gov). Funding for RNSP sampling is provided by the NPS through a collaborative agreement with the University of California at Santa Cruz.

This monitoring program, adapted from MARINe protocols, was designed to identify and follow temporal trends in populations of the common and/or ecologically important organisms in the rocky intertidal community at these sites. To accomplish this, sites are sampled twice a year, and data are collected using permanent plots to monitor changes in sessile invertebrate, algae, and the ochre star (*Pisaster ochraceus*), and permanent transects to monitor surfgrass (*Phyllospadix* spp.). These data are analyzed to determine seasonal and annual changes to the community, and to explore broader spatial and temporal trends.

The rocky intertidal monitoring program, which was initially started in RNSP in 2004, appears to be progressing successfully. The procedures for data collection, data management, data analysis, and reporting appear to be working well with no expected revisions to the protocol needed. This report and subsequent annual reports for the intertidal monitoring program are intended primarily as administrative reports. More comprehensive trend analyses of the data will be included in the program's five-year reports, the first of which will be completed after the 2011 field season.

Acknowledgments

We thank the 2008 field crew for their hard work and dedication to the project: Laura Anderson, Sara Worden, and Melissa Redfield. We also appreciate the field assistance from Redwood Park staff including David Anderson, Terry Hines, Kyle Max, Kelley Been, Heather Brown and numerous volunteers. Sean Mohren, Eric Dinger, Daniel Sarr, Dennis Odion from the Klamath network have provided editing, technical and data assistance.

Introduction

Rocky Intertidal Monitoring

Several coastal parks, including Cabrillo National Monument and Channel Islands National Park, have begun intertidal monitoring programs to: 1) establish baseline datasets of their marine resources, and 2) follow changes in these resources (Davis and Halvorson 1996, Davis 2005). Because such monitoring allows changes to be tracked within and between communities over seasonal and yearly time scales, it provides critical information needed to make informed management decisions. Further, understanding these patterns is necessary for detecting anthropogenic changes resulting from disturbances such as oil spills or global climate change. Minerals Management Service and PISCO (Partnership for the Interdisciplinary Studies of Coastal Oceans) have been working in concert with a number of academic and government organizations to monitor intertidal communities along the western coast of North America, from Washington to California. These Community Structure Surveys have been conducted by members of MARINe and PISCO and include over 20 coastwide agencies.

The Community Structure Surveys were first established to determine abundance and distributional patterns of intertidal species along the southern California coast in the early 1990s (Ambrose et al. 1992). Since then, sites have been added to central and northern California, Oregon, and Washington. We have adapted the protocols used in these surveys (i.e. Engle 2005) for monitoring the intertidal communities within Redwood National and State Parks (RNSP). This facilitates comparisons between the RNSP sites and those elsewhere along the coast. Because the RNSP sites fills a noticeable gap in the geographic coverage of existing community surveys, the results from these sites play an integral part in determining larger scale patterns along the Northern California/Southern Oregon coastline. This allows comparisons of population dynamics to be made with sites throughout the state. The addition of sites within the RNSP fills a noticeable gap in the geographic coverage of existing community surveys. Prior to this addition, no intertidal survey sites existed between southern Humboldt County and southern Oregon.

The specific monitoring objectives of the RNSP Rocky Intertidal monitoring program are:

- Monitor the temporal dynamics of target invertebrate, algal, and surfgrass species across accessible, representative, and historically sampled rocky intertidal sites at Redwood National and State Parks that can feasibly be monitored with the Network’s intertidal monitoring budget ($30k/yr) to: 1) Evaluate potential impacts of visitor use or other park-specific activities; and 2) Provide monitoring information to help assess level of impacts and changes outside normal limits of variation due to oil spills, non-point source pollution,or other anthropogenic stressors that may come from outside the parks.
- Determine status through time of morphology, color ratios, and other key parameters describing population status (e.g., size, structure) of the selected intertidal organisms.
- Integrate with and contribute to a monitoring network spanning a broad geographic region, in order to evaluate trends at multiple scales, from the park to region-wide, taking advantage of greater sample sizes at broader scales.
- Detect and document invasions, changes in species ranges, the spread of diseases, and the rates and scales of processes affecting the structure and function of rocky

intertidal populations and communities to better understand normal limits of variation.

The specific measurement and analysis objectives of the program are:

- Provide a photographic record of sessile invertebrates and algae (and potentially oil and other non-point source pollutants) using fixed plots (photoplots) as reference.
- Determine the abundance (percent cover) of organisms within select fixed plots (interchangeably called photoplots or photoquadrats).
- Within fixed plots, determine the abundance of sea stars, snails, chitons, limpets, and crabs (mobile invertebrates) that may serve as an indicator of overall or specific ecosystem health.
- Determine surfgrass abundance by measuring cover along fixed point-intercept transects.
- Identify changes that are inconsistent with the established baseline conditions, whether they are park-specific or broader in scale, and whether there are potential management actions needed to mitigate them.
- Prepare annual summary reports and five year, peer reviewed trend analysis reports showing data relevance following National Park Service reporting guidelines. Reports will display any major (>50%) changes in the abundance of target taxa between sampling intervals as a highlight for potential management actions.

The RNSP rocky intertidal monitoring program has been developed as a rigorous, park-based design that integrates with a long-term, spatially extensive program. The national parks are promoting the importance of marine resources by establishing long-term monitoring programs supported by the public and scientific community. This effort will translate into a greater appreciation of these resources and an awareness of the importance of maintaining them for future generations.

Study Area

Three rocky intertidal sites are monitored within RNSP; Enderts Beach, Damnation Creek, and False Klamath Cove (Figure 1). The sites are approximately 5 km apart and span the nearly 30 km of rocky intertidal habitat present in RNSP.

Enderts Beach, located at the southern end of Crescent Beach, is at the northern edge of RNSP. The site consists of a large, gently sloping bench (approximately 100 m wide) and a series of three smaller benches separated by surge channels and cobble beds. Rocky intertidal monitoring occurs on the three rocky benches.

Damnation Creek is 5 km south of Enderts Beach and 6.5 km north of False Klamath Cove. It is an extensive rocky bench cut by channels, with a few large sedentary boulders at its seaward edge. The landward edge of the bench has an accumulation of smooth cobble. Although the site is near the mouth of Damnation Creek, the monitoring plots are established far enough away from the creek’s outflow to avoid direct freshwater input.

False Klamath Cove is located just south of Wilson Creek, about 8 km north of the Klamath River. This site has variable substrata that range from coarse sand to large boulders. There is potential for temporal variation in sand scour and boulder movement. The intertidal study site is peninsula-like with the ocean to the north and south and a sea stack (approximately 75 m tall and 100 m wide) at the west end. The peninsula stretches approximately 250 m long with a width of approximately 100 m. The site consists of a gently sloping field of boulders and small rock benches. Sampling is restricted to large sedentary boulders and small rocky benches.



Figure 1. Map of northern Redwood National and State Parks, showing locations of rocky intertidal study sites.

Methods

Sample Design

The methods used for monitoring algal and invertebrate species in RNSP are based on the protocols developed by MARINe (**www.marine.gov**), and are explained in detail in Ammann and Ramondi (2008). In brief, the abundance of ecologically important organisms are measured in discrete, fixed plots that have been established in targeted assemblages. Using fixed plots allows the dynamics of species to be monitored with reasonable sampling effort, and provides greater power to detect changes over time. Smaller (50 x 75cm) plots are used to monitor sessile (or relatively non-motile) algae and invertebrates, while larger plots are used to sample more mobile species.

Field Log

Field logs were kept to provide a record of any general observation made during the surveys at the monitoring sites including weather conditions, participants, changes to or deviations from the protocols, and any unique or unusual occurrences. Physical and weather-related conditions are collected initially upon reaching the site, as are counts of birds, marine mammals, and humans. Also noted is the site-wide abundance and condition (e.g., reproductive state, bleached) of a set list of species, including some not targeted in the permanent plots. Researchers for MARINe working at sites from San Diego to the Oregon/Washington border have an identical list of core species for which relative abundance (including absence) and condition is recorded. This standard species list allows monitoring groups to consistently make general observations about species not targeted in specific plots. The relative abundance and recruitment categories are qualitative, but are based on extensive knowledge of the sites and species distributions. In order to report annual averages of these data, the categories were given numeric values (e.g., Abundant=5, Rare=1) and averaged.

Photoplots

At each site, photoplots (50 x 75cm in size) are used to record changes in abundance (measured as % cover) of conspicuous, abundant, and ecologically important species, including mussels (*Mytilus californianu*s), barnacles (*Chthamalus dalli/Balanus glandula*), and three species of algae (turfweed [*Endocladia muricata*], dwarf rockweed [*Pelvetiopsis limitata*], and rockweed [*Fucus gardneri*]) (Figure 2). The natural history of target organisms are referenced in Appendix B.



Figure 2. Photographs depicting the five target species sampled in permanent photo plots.

Five photoplots were established for each species (Table 1), and the location of each plot was chosen to maximize the abundance of the targeted species. Because the rockweed (*Fucus*) was not abundant at Enderts Beach, and dwarf rockweed (*Pelvetiopsis*) was not abundant at Damnation Creek, no plots were set-up to monitor these species at those sites. At Damnation Creek, five additional mussel plots were established in the outflow of Damnation Creek, where salinity is often much lower than in the other mussel plots (Cox and McGary 2006). At low tide the salinity of the water surrounding the mussel plots, located near Damnation Creek, has been recorded as low as 22 ppt compared to the average ocean salinity between 32-35 ppt.

The photoplots were marked by three permanent bolts and are photographed using a digital camera mounted on a PVC photo-framer. The abundances (% cover) of all sessile species in the photoplots were determined using a rectangular grid of one-hundred uniformly spaced points. This grid is superimposed over the plot, and the taxon under each point was identified.

Table 1. Summary of photoplot species monitored at RNSP sites, including number of replicate plots.

	Mussels	Barnacles	Rockweeds/Sessile algae		
Site	*Mytilus* spp.	*Chthamalus /Balanus*	*Pelvetiopsis limitata*	*Endocladia muricata*	*Fucus gardneri*
Enderts Beach	5 plots	5 plots	5 plots	5 plots	-----
Damnation Creek	10 plots*	5 plots	-----	5 plots	5 plots
False Klamath Cove	5 plots	5 plots	5 plots	5 plots	5 plots

*Five mussel plots are located away from creek outflow and five plots are located near the outflow of Damnation Creek.

Mobile Invertebrates
The photoplots were also used to measure the abundance (density) of mobile invertebrates. With the exception of burrowing organisms and amphipods, all mobile invertebrates within each photoplot are counted. For select species, such as black turban snails (*Tegula funebralis*) and

dogwinkles (*Nucella emarginata* and *N. canaliculata*), the size distribution was determined (measured at its longest axis using calipers) of the first 10 individuals encountered is measured. This information is used to determine size distributions.

Barnacle Recruitment

Small clearings (10 x 10cm), established near the barnacle photoplots, are sampled in the summer to measure barnacle recruitment. Each year the acorn barnacles (*Balanus glandula* and *Chthamalus dalli*) (Figure 3) that recruit into these plots are counted with a hand lens, after which the plots are scraped clean. These plots were established at the sites in the summer of 2006, and were first sampled in the summer of 2007. These plots will be sampled annually each summer in order to be comparable with other plots at other sites in northern California and Oregon.



Figure 3. Image (10x) of barnacle recruits (*Balanus glandula* and *Chthamalus dalli*) taken through microscope.

Sea Stars

Large, permanent plots are used to monitor the size and abundance of the ochre sea star, *Pisaster ochraceus*. Three plots are monitored at Damnation Creek and False Klamath Cove, while two plots are sampled at Enderts Beach. These plots were established in areas where sea stars are abundant and, thus, are not intended to quantify the overall density of sea stars at the site. Each ochre sea star encountered is counted, measured, and its color (purple/orange) noted. Other rarer sea stars, such as the bat star (*Patiria miniata*), the giant sea star (*Pisaster giganteus*), the sunflower star (*Pycnopodia helianthoides*), the six-armed star (*Leptastarias hexactis*), and leather sea star (*Dermasterias imbricate*), are also counted when encountered.

Surfgrass

Permanent line transects are used to measure the abundance (% cover) of surfgrass (*Phyllospadix scouleri/torreyi*) and associated species at Damnation Creek (does not abundantly occur at the other sites). Each transect is 10m long, and is sampled by noting the species under each 10cm mark.

Sea Surface Temperature

A temperature logger was deployed at each site to measure sea-surface temperature. These small units (Tidbit Temperature data loggers, Onset Computer Corporation) were attached to the rock below the mussel zone, and are set to record temperatures every 15 minutes. The units were changed out during each site visit, downloaded, and then reset for use again. Only those times of the day when the probe is underwater were used to calculate sea-surface temperature.

Data Collection and Entry

The RNSP intertidal sites are sampled twice each year (summer and fall/winter) during times when there are good negative low tides. The summer survey usually occurs in May or June, and the fall/winter survey sometime in November or December. All data for this report were collected between May 21, 2008 and December 12, 2008 (

Table 2). Each site requires a full day of sampling by a team of at least six field biologists.

After the completion of each survey, researchers review the data forms for missing or incorrectly recorded data. Once complete, the data are entered into the MARINe Data Management System (MDMS), a system that provides a uniform data acquisition, data analysis, and information storage and retrieval system for all MARINe institutions. Data from field logs, photoplots, sea star plots, and surfgrass transects are entered into the MARINe Access database (version 3). Data for mobile invertebrate counts are entered into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet for analysis.

Data Analysis

Basic summary statistics are used to examine variations in abundance for the key species found in the photoplots (e.g., barnacles (*Chthamulus, Balanus*, etc.), mussels (*Mytilis* spp.), and rockweed species (e.g., *Pelvetiopsis limitata*), and line transects (e.g. surfgrass [*Phyllospadix* spp.]). Mean percent cover values are presented for each site (based on pooled values for all plots in each zone) in summer and fall sampling periods. This report covers one of data; however, and any analysis of trends should be based on a longer time series (> 5 years).

Table 2. Sampling locations and 2008 dates for RNSP rocky intertidal monitoring.

		(Decimal Degree)[1]			
Site	**Site Code**	**Latitude**	**Longitude**	**Summer 2008**	**Fall 2008**
False Klamath Cove	FKC	41.59476	124.10643	21-May	10-Dec
Damnation Creek	DMN	41.65249	124.12784	22-May	11-Dec
Enderts Beach	END	41.69000	124.14257	23-May	12-Dec

[1] Site coordinates are NAD83 datum, source Bureau of Land Management

Results

Raw data used to create this report are available upon request through MARINe or the National Park Service. Please contact the author with requests.

Field Log

Species List and Conditions

The general observations made for each survey are presented in **Error! Reference source not found.**. During each sampling trip observations were recorded about the general physical and weather-related conditions at the site. Some of the data recorded during each visit include: tidal information, temperature, wind, and levels of rain, sand scour, trash, plant wrack, dead animals and trash.

Estimates of the site-wide abundances of the core and optional species (see definition in Appendix A) recorded for the field logs are presented in Table 4. Recruitment levels are noted for species where recruitment was commonly observed. Damage, bleaching and flowering was also recorded for species where appropriate. Abundances and recruitment levels are averaged across the two surveys. Some seasonal differences occur in species such as *Endocladia muricata* (turfweed) and *Porphyra spp.* (Nori), which both show greater abundances in the summer sampling periods at all sites.

Shorebirds and Mammals

Summaries of the common shorebirds and mammals observed during the RNSP surveys are presented in Table 5 and Table 6, respectively. These data represent the greatest number of each species observed at any one time on or near the sampled reef. They are not intended as census data, but rather as field observations. Table 5 summarizes the common list of birds that all rocky intertidal MARINe monitoring groups record along the California and Oregon coast. Table 7 lists the additional bird species that were noted on the reef or flying over the sampling sites at one or more occasions during 2008.

Table 3 Field Conditions for sampling trips in 2008 at three intertidal sites within RNSP. Codes for levels indicated are 0=Zero,. L = Relatively few or low levels, M = Medium or moderate levels, H = High numbers or high levels, ND = No Data.

Site ID	Season Code	Start Time	End time	Low Tide Level (ft)	Low Tide Time	Swell Surge	Wind	Rain	Recent Rain	Sedi-ment Level	Scour	Rock Move-ment	Plant Wrack	Drift-wood	Shell Debris	Dead Animals	Trash
DMN	SU08	4:15	10:30	-0.9	8:05	L	M	0	0	M	L	H	L	L	L	0	0
DMN	FA08	12:45	18:00	-1.0	15:53	L	L	0	ND	M	M	L	L	M	L	0	L
END	SU08	5:00	10:00	-0.7	8:44	L	M	0	0	L	L	M	L	L	L	0	0
END	FA08	13:00	17:00	-1.6	16:41	M	L	0	0	L	M	L	L	M	L	0	L
FKC	SU08	4:30	10:00	-0.9	7:28	M	M	0	L	L	L	M	L	L	L	L	L
FKC	FA08	13:45	18:00	-2.0	17:30	M	L	M	0	M	M	M	L	L	L	L	L

Table 4. Abundance and recruitment levels for core and optional species (see definition in Appendix A) at Enderts Beach(E), Damnation Creek (D) and False Klamath Cove (F) during the 2008 sampling trips. Abundance codes: 0= Not detected, R=rare, U=uncommon, P=present, C=common, A=abundant. Recruitment codes: L=low, M=medium, H=high.

		Abundance			Recruitment		
Core Species	**Common Names**	**E**	**D**	**F**	**E**	**D**	**F**
Red Algae							
Ahnfeltiopsis linearis	red algae	R	0	0			
Caulacanthus ustulatus	-----	0	0	0			
Chondracanthus canaliculatus	-----	0	R	R			
Endocladia muricata	turfweed	P	P	P			
Mastocarpus papillatus	turkish washcloth	P	P	P	L	L	L
Mazzaella affinis	-----	U	0	R			
Mazzaella spp. (=*Iridaea* spp.)	iridescent weed	P	P	P			
Neorhodomela larix	blackpine	R	P	U			
Odonthalia spp. (optional)	tooth branch	P	C	P			
Porphyra spp.	Nori	P	U	C			
Green Aglae							
Cladophora columbiana	pin-cushion algae	U	U	U			
Ulva/Enteromorpha	sea lettuce	U	U	P			
Brown Algae							
Egregia menziesii	feather boa kelp	P	U	P			
Eisenia arborea	southern sea palm	0	0	0			
Petalonia spp.	sea petals	0	0	0			
Fucus gardneri	rockweed	P	A	C	L	L	L
Halidrys dioica/Cystoseira spp.	bladder chain kelp	0	0	0			
Saccharina (=*Hedophyllum*) *sessile*	sea cabbage	P	P	P			
Hesperophycus californicus	western alga	0	0	0			
Pelvetiopsis limitata	dwarf rockweed	C	P	C	M	L	M
Postelsia palmaeformis	Sea palm	0	0	U			
Sargassum muticum	wireweed	0	0	0			
Scytosiphon spp	leather tube	0	0	R			
Silvetia compressa	slender rockweed	0	0	0			
Surfgrass							
Phyllospadix scouleri/torreyi	surfgrass	P	P	P			
Gastropods							
Acanthinucella spp.	unicorn snail	0	0	0			
Haliotis cracherodii	black abalone	0	0	0			
Katharina tunicata	black leather chiton	P	R	U	L		
Littorina spp.	periwinkle snail	P	C	P	H	M	M
Lottia gigantea	owl limpet	0	0	0			
Nucella canaliculata	channeled dogwinkle	P	0	R	L		
Nucella emarginata/ostrina	striped dogwinkle	C	C	P	L	L	L
Ocenebra circumtexta	circled rocksnail	0	0	0			
Tegula spp.	turban snail	P	P	P		L	M
Bivalves							
Mytilus californianus	California mussel	C	P	P	L	L	L
Septifer bifurcates	branch-ribbed mussel	0	0	0			
Crustaceans							
Balanus glandula	acorn barnacle	C	C	C	M	M	M
Chthamalus dalli/fissus	small acorn barnacle	P	P	P	L	L	M

Core Species	Common Names	Abundance E	Abundance D	Abundance F	Recruitment E	Recruitment D	Recruitment F
Crustaceans continued							
Idotea spp. (optional)	Isopod	P	P	P			
Ligia occidentalis	western sea roach	U	R	R			
Pachygrapsus crassipes	lined shore crab	U	U	U		L	
Pollicipes polymerus	gooseneck barnacle	U	U	U			L
Semibalanus cariosus	thatched barnacle	P	P	P	L	L	L
Tetraclita rubescens	red thatched barnacle	0	0	0			
Anemones							
Anthopleura elegantissima/sola	sea anemone	P	P	P			
Anthopleura xanthogrammica (opt)	Giant green anemone	P	U	U			
Echinoderms							
Pisaster ochraceus	ochre seastar	C	P	P	M	L	M
Strongylocentrotus purpuratus	purple urchin	R	R	R		L	
Polycheate worms							
Phragmatopoma californica	sand castle worm	R	0	R			

Table 5. Common shorebirds observed at RNSP monitoring sites during intertidal sampling trips in 2008 (maximum seen at any one time).

Site ID	Season	Cormorant *Phalacrocorax* spp.	Gull *Larus* spp	Oyster-catcher *Haematopus bachmani*	Pelican *Pelicanus occidentalis*
DMN	SU08	10	4	2	10
DMN	FA08	0	4	2	0
END	SU08	1	5	2	4
END	FA08	0	3	6	3
FKC	SU08	0	0	4	2
FKC	FA08	0	1	4	0
	2008 Totals	**11**	**17**	**20**	**19**

Table 6. Mammals observed at RNSP monitoring sites during intertidal sampling trips in 2008 (maximum seen at any one time). The numbers of humans noted are not intended to be visitation data.

Site ID	Season	Harbor Seal *Phoca vitulina*	California Sea Lion *Zalophus californianus*	River Otter *Lontra canadensis*	Humans on reef	Humans on sand
DMN	SU08	0	0	0	0	0
DMN	FA08	0	0	0	0	0
END	SU08	0	0	0	0	0
END	FA08	0	0	0	0	0
FKC	SU08	0	0	1	0	3
FKC	FA08	0	0	4	0	0
	2008 Totals	**0**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**3**

Table 7. List of additional bird species observed at RNSP intertidal sites during 2008 sampling.

Common Name (genus species)
American Goldfinch (*Carduelis tristis*)
American Robin (*Turdus migratorius*)
Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*)
Barn Swallow (*Hirundo rustica)*
Common Murre (*Uria aalge*)
Orange-crowned Warbler (*Vermivora celata*)
Osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*)
Pigeon Guillemot (*Cepphus columba*)
Raven (*Corvus corax*)
Song Sparrow (*Melospiza melodia*)
Steller's Jay (*Cyanocitta stelleri*)
Swainson's Thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*)
Turkey vulture (*Cathartes aura*)
Varied Thrush *(Ixoreus naevius*)
Vaux's Swift (*Chaetura vauxi*)
White-crowned sparrow (*Zonotrichia leucophrys*)
Wilson's Warbler (*Wilsonia pusilla*)
Winter Wren (Troglodytes troglodytes)
Wrentit (*Chamaea fasciata*)

Photoplots

Abundance (% cover) of species found in the five types of photoplots are presented in Figure 4 to 8 and will be discussed in detail below. The figures include taxa that comprised at least 5% of the plot. To date, monitoring data has indicated disturbance and recovery in some plots, for organisms such as barnacles and turfweed (*Endocladia muricata)*. Other plots, such as mussels, have been more stable over the course of this study. The following summaries are from observations of only one year of data and are not trend analyses.

Barnacle (Chthamalus dalli and Balanus glandula) photoplots

The barnacle *Balanus glandula*, which was the most common species in these plots, was more than twice as abundant as the small acorn barnacle, *Chthamalus dalli*.. Although barnacle abundances varied slightly among sites, they showed little seasonal variation (Figure 4). Much of the plots at Damnation and False Klamath were open space.

Turfweed (Endocladia muricata) photoplots

Except for Enderts, the abundance of turfweed (*Endocladia muricata*) varied seasonally within these plots, and was greatest during the summer survey (Figure 5). The decline in abundance during the fall survey was accompanied by an increase in open space. At Enderts Beach, the presence of *Porphyra* (Nori) in the summer survey greatly reduced the turfweed cover.

Rockweed (Fucus gardneri) photoplots

Although abundances at both sites tended to be slightly lower in the fall, these plots were dominated by the rockweed *Fucus gardneri* (Figure 6). The plots at False Klamath Cove appeared to have lower rockweed cover, less open space, and higher cover of non-coralline crust than at Damnation Creek.

Dwarf Rockweed (Pelvetiopsis limitata) photoplots

Although the abundance of dwarf rockweed (*Pelvetiopsis limitata*) appeared to vary seasonally in these plots, unlike the rockweed *Fucus* and the turfweed *Endocladia*, the cover of this alga was greater in the fall (Figure 7). Increases in dwarf rockweed cover corresponded to decreases in the percent cover of noncoraline crust, rock and barnacles.

California Mussel (Mytilus californianus) photoplots

Mussel (*Mytilus californianus*) cover in these plots remained high and fairly stable during 2008 (Figure 8). *Porphyra*, lumped in the erect algae category, is abundant in the summer, and was so thick in some of the mussel plots at False Klamath Cove that it was difficult to determine if it was epibiotic on the mussels or constituted the bottom layer.



Figure 4. Mean abundance (± 1SE) of species in the barnacle (*Balanus/Chthamalus*) photoplots.



Figure 5. Mean abundance ($\pm$ 1SE) of species in Turfweed (*Endocladia muricata*) photoplots.



Figure 6. Mean abundance (± 1SE) of species in the Rockweed *(Fucus gardneri)* photoplots.



Figure 7. Mean abundance (± 1SE) of species in dwarf rockweed (*Pelvetiopsis*) photoplots.



Figure 8. Mean abundance (± 1SE) of species in Mussel (*Mytilus*) photoplot.

Barnacle Recruitment

The barnacle recruitment clearings were sampled during the summer 2008 survey. While *Balanus* recruitment was greatest at Enderts Beach, *Chthamalus* recruitment was greatest at Damnation Creek (Figure 9). Recruitment of both species was lowest, and similar, at False Klamath Creek.



Figure 9. Mean annual recruitment (± 1SE) of barnacles in 2008 recruitment clearings at RNSP sites.

Mobile Invertebrates

A total of 19 taxa of mobile invertebrate were found within the photoplots during 2008 (Table 8). Changes in abundance of the more common taxa within are presented in Figures 10-13. Presence/absence of the less common mobile species in each type of photoplot are presented in Table 9. With a few exceptions, the abundance of most of these taxa tended to vary among the different types of plot. For example, limpets and periwinkle snails (*Littorina* spp.), which were by far the most common taxa, were particularly abundant in the barnacle plots where they often exceeded 1000 individuals per plot or 2500 per m^2(Figure 10). Limpets appeared more common in the Fall, and littorines in the Spring.

The striped dogwinkle (*Nucella emarginata*), a predatory snail, was common at all sites, but was particularly abundant at Enderts Beach (Figure 11). It was most commonly found in the mussel and barnacle plots. In comparison, the channeled dogwinkle (*N. canalicula*), a related species, was less common, and was found almost exclusively in the mussel plots at Enderts Beach (Figure 11).

At Damnation Creek and False Klamath Cove the black turban snail (*Tegula funebralis*), a grazer, was primarily found in the mid-shore *F. garderni* plots, but its distribution at Enderts Beach seems less restrictive, despite the absence of *F. garderni* plots at this site (Figure 12). It was also most common during the Fall survey. In comparison, the Gould's baby chiton (*Lepidochitona dentiens*), also a grazer, was not only less abundant, but was most common in the Endocladia plots during the Summer. Interestingly, this species was absent from the *Endocladia* plots at False Klamath (Figure 12).

With one exception (in *Fucus* plots at False Klamath Cove), the lined shore crab (*Pachygrapsus crassipes*) and the hermit crabs (*Pagurus* spp.) were not very abundant (

Figure 13). Hermit crabs were found almost exclusively in the *Endocladia*, *Fucus* and mussel plots (

Figure 13). Similarly, most of the less common mobile invertebrate taxa were found in the *Endocladia*, *Fucus* and mussel plots (Table 9).

Size distributions of the striped dogwinkle were bell-shaped and fairly similar across sites (Figure 14). There was a shift toward smaller size classes between the summer and fall sampling periods at Enderts Beach. Size distributions of turban snails varied among and within sites over the sampling periods (Figure 14). For example, large individuals (>20mm) were missing from the Enderts Beach plots, while the population at False Klamath Cove had a higher proportion of small (<10mm) individuals than the other sites.

Table 8. Mobile invertebrate taxa found in photoplots at all RNSP sites during 2008 surveys.

Taxa	Common Name
Gastropods	
Alia carinata	carinate dovesnail
Amphissa spp.	wrinkled/ variegated amphissa
Bittium eschrichtii	Bittium snail
Unidentified Limpets	limpets
Littorina spp.	Periwinkle
Margarites spp.	Margarites spp.
Nucella canaliculata	chanelled dogwinkle
Nucella emarginata/ostrina	striped dogwinkle
Onchidella borealis	leather limpet
Tegula funebralis	black turban
Chitons	
Lepidochitona dentiens	Gould's baby chiton
Nuttallina spp.	california spiny chiton
Crustaceans	
Idotea spp.	Vosnesesensky's isopod
Pachygrapsis crassipes	striped shore crab
Pagurus beringanus	Bering hermit
Pagurus hirsutisculus	hairy hermit
Petrolisthes spp.	flat porcelain crab
Echinoderms	

Leptasterias spp.	six-rayed star
Pisaster ochraceus	ochre star



Figure 10. Mean abundance (± 1SE) of limpets and littorines in the various photoplots during the 2008 sampling periods. Plot types arranged from high zone (left) to mid zone (right). Note different scales on y axes. Note: there are no *Fucus* plots at Enderts and no *Pelvetiopsis* plots at Damnation.



Figure 11. Mean abundances (± 1SE) of two species of dogwinkle whelks during 2008 sampling periods. Plot types arranged from high zone (left) to mid zone (right). Note different scales on y axes. Note: there are no *Fucus* plots at Enderts and no *Pelvetiopsis* plots at Damnation. 0 = species absent.



Figure 12. Mean abundances (± 1SE) of Black turban snails and Gould's baby chitons during 2008 sampling periods. Plot types arranged from high zone (left) to mid zone (right). Note different scales on y axes. Note: there are no *Fucus* plots at Enderts and no *Pelvetiopsis* plots at Damnation. 0 = species absent.



Figure 13. Mean abundance (± 1SE) of lined shore and hermit crabs during 2008 sampling periods. Plot types arranged from high zone (left) to mid zone (right). Note different scales on y axes. Note: there are no *Fucus* plots at Enderts and no *Pelvetiopsis* plots at Damnation. 0 = species absent.

Table 9. Presence (X) of less common mobile invertebrate species in the different photoplots at RNSP sites during 2008 surveys. Sites: Enderts Beach (E), Damnation Creek (D), and False Klamath Cove (F). Note: there are no *Fucus* plots at Enderts Beach and no *Pelvetiopsis* plots at Damnation Creek.

	Barnacle			***Pelvetiopsis***			***Endocladia***			***Fucus***			**Mussel**		
Taxa	**E**	**D**	**F**	**E**	**D**	**F**	**E**	**D**	**F**	**E**	**D**	**F**	**E**	**D**	**F**
Gastropods															
Alia carinata	X				--					--					
Amphissa spp.					--			X		--					
Bittium eschrichtii	X				--					--					
Onchidella borealis				X	--					--					
Chitons															
Mopalia spp.					--					--					
Nuttallina spp.						--	X				--				
Crustaceans															
Idotea spp.		X			--	X	X	X	X	--	X	X		X	X
Petrolisthes spp.					--					--				X	
Seastars															
Leptasterias spp.					--					--			X		X
Pisaster ochraceus					--		X			--					
Total taxa	2	1	0	1	--	1	3	2	1	--	1	1	1	2	2



Figure 14. Size frequency distributions of striped dogwinkles and black turban snails and at RNSP during 2008 sampling periods.

Sea star Plots

Because the size of the sea star plots varied among the sites, sea star abundances should not be compared across sites. Overall, the orange morph of the Ochre sea stars comprised approximately 15% of the populations at Enderts Beach and Damnation Creek (Figure 15). This is consistent with the findings from other sites throughout the California coast (Raimondi et al. 2007). In contrast, the ratios of the two color morphologies differed between seasons at False Klamath Cove. The reason for this appeared to be a large number of small orange colored seastars during the summer survey (Figure 16). This may represent a recruitment event. Interestingly, the absence of small individuals at Damnation, and the lack of seasonal difference in size distribution at FKC, suggests that if true, this recruitment event only happened at Enderts.

The size structure of the Ochre sea star populations differed among the three sites (Figure 16). The population at False Klamath Cove was comprised mostly of small individuals ($\geq$50mm), the population at Damnation Creek by mid-sized individuals, but the population at Enderts Beach was distributed more evenly among size classes.

Surfgrass Transects

During the summer surveys, surfgrass abundance was 92 and 75% in plots 1 and 2 respectively. Surfgrass cover in the 2008 fall sample was 88% in both plots.

Sea Surface Temperature

Sea surface temperature varied within 2008 (Figure 17). There was little temperature variation between RNSP sites; the graph shown is the average sea-surface temperature recorded from the three sites. Seasonal and annual variations will be further utilized to explore ecological patterns in future trend reports.



Figure 15. Number of Ochre sea stars (*Pisaster ochraceous*) at three sites within the RNSP during sampling periods in 2008. Numbers are divided into the two color morphologies: purple/ "other" and orange.



Figure 16. Size distributions of Ochre sea stars (*Pisaster ochraceous*) at three sites within the RNSP during sampling periods in 2008.



Figure 17. Average sea-surface temperatures from three RNSP sites in 2008. Arrows denote two sampling periods. Data collected with Onset brand temperature loggers.

Discussion

A primary objective of the RNSP monitoring program is to track changes in the structure of the intertidal community over time. To accomplish this, data on the distribution, abundance, and sizes of species found at several sites within RNSP are collected. Such data, when collected over long periods of time increases our understanding of the processes that affect intertidal communities, and also alerts researchers/managers about unusual conditions occurring in monitored areas, including shifts in species ranges due to global climate change (Barry et al. 1995, Sagarin et al. 1999) and the introduction of non-native species (Carlton and Geller 1993).

As the RNSP intertidal monitoring dataset grows, patterns will emerge and the usefulness of these data will increase. From the pictures taken of the photoplots we are able to gather information about the relative abundance (as % cover) of algae and sessile invertebrates. Searches done in the field of these plots also provide information about smaller, mobile species like snails, crabs, and chitons. The sea star plots and surfgrass transects allow us to track changes of these species. By gaining an understanding of natural seasonal and annual variations of these target species, any deviations from these baseline conditions, like disturbances or long-term changes (e.g. global climate change) will become obvious.

To date, the results from the RNSP monitoring program suggest that since its inception there have been no large changes to the structure of the intertidal community. However, comparisons between the current state of the community and previous observations made by Boyd and DeMartini (1977) indicate a shift from a highly disturbed early successional community, to a more stable, late successional community. Variations in abundance due to small scale spatial clearance have also decreased since the earlier study periods. Although such changes could be attributed to decreased sediment loads and lower quantities of driftwood due to decreases in logging activity (e.g., McGary 2005), without monitoring data before and after the years of intense logging it is not possible to directly assess the impacts of logging at these sites. This highlights the need for continued monitoring. In the event that degradation was to occur from natural or anthropogenic drivers, monitoring data would enable managers to assess the impacts and determine biological responses (Raimondi et al. 1999).

Monitoring programs, like the one done in RNSP are particularly needed in California which is rapidly moving towards a new era of marine resource management that includes greater emphasis on dealing with the impacts of humans on marine ecosystems. Calls for this “ecosystem-based management” approach to manage marine resources and ecosystems are reflected in the recommendations of the US Commission on Ocean Policy, the Pew Commission Report, and the California Ocean Protection Act. Long-term monitoring studies provide important information not only about the current state of populations and communities, but also about whether and how much they change over time.

The ongoing monitoring program of the rocky intertidal community at RNSP continues to generate useful data. These findings will inform managers and policymakers, and facilitate marine conservation through public outreach. This monitoring program will inform the Marine Life Protection Act process by providing essential baseline data for: 1) future design of the Marine Protected Area (MPA) network in the northern California region and 2) assessment of the effectiveness of the (MPAs) that will be established.

Literature Cited

Abbott, I. A. and G. J. Hollenberg. 1976. Marine algae of California. Stanford University Press, Stanford, California.

Ambrose, R. F., P. T. Raimondi and J. M. Engle. 1992. Final Study Plan for Inventory of Intertidal Resources in Santa Barbara County. Report to the Minerals Management Service, Pacific OCS Region. January 1992.

Ammann, K. N. and P. T. Raimondi. 2008. Long-term Monitoring Protocol for Rocky Intertidal Communities of Redwood National and State Parks, California. Natural Resource Report NPS /KLMN/NRR—2008/034. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.

Bertness, M. D, S. D. Gaines, and S. M. Yeh. 1998. Making mountains out of barnacles: the dynamics of hummock formation. *Ecology* 79:1382–1394.

Boyd, M. J. and J.D. DeMartini, 1977. The intertidal and subtidal biota of Redwood National Park. U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service Contract No. CX8480-4-0665.

Barry J. P., C. H. Baxter, R. D. Sagarin, and S. E. Gilman. 1995. Climate-related, long term faunal changes in a California rocky intertidal community. *Science* 267:672–675.

Carlton, J. T., and J. B. Geller. 1993. Ecological roulette: The global transport of nonindigenous marine organisms. *Science* 261:78–82.

California Department of Fish and Game. Marine Life Management Act. http://www.dfg.ca.gov/marine/mlma/index.asp (Accessed on 22 February 2011).

California Department of Fish and Game. Marine Life Protection Act. http://www.dfg.ca.gov/mlpa/ (Accessed on 22 February 2011).

Chan, G. L. 1973. A study of the effects of the San Francisco oil spill on marine organisms. Pp. 741–782 in Proceedings of joint conference on prevention and control of oils spills. American Petroleum Institute, Washington, D.C.

Cox, K., and C. McGary. 2006. Marine resources of Redwood National and State Parks: Comprehensive report (2004–2005) for Humboldt and Del Norte County, California. REDW-00008.

Davis, G. E. 2005. National Park stewardship and vital signs monitoring: a case study from Channel Islands National Park, California. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine Freshwater Ecosystems* 15:71–89.

Davis, G. E., and W. L. Halvorson. 1996. Long-term research in national parks: From beliefs to knowledge. Pages 3–10 *in* W. L. Halvorson and G. E. Davis, editors. Science and ecosystem management in the national parks. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, Arizona.

Dayton, P. K. 1971. Competition, disturbance and community organization: the provision and subsequent utilization of space in a rocky intertidal community. *Ecological Monographs* 41:351–389.

Driskell, W. B., J. L. Rusink, D. C. Lees, J. P. Houghton, and S.C. Lindstrom. 2001. Long-term signal of disturbance: Fucus gardneri after the Exxon Valdez oil spill. *Ecological Applications* 11:815–827.

Engle, J. M. 2005. Update.Unified Monitoring Protocols for the Multi-Agency Rocky Intertidal Network. Minerals Management Services. Santa Barbara, California.

Foster, M. S., A. P. De Vogelaere, C. Harrold. J. S. Pearse, and A. B. Thum. 1988. Causes of spatial and temporal patterns in rocky intertidal communities of Central and Northern California. Memoirs of the California Academy of Sciences Number 9, San Francisco, California.

Glynn, P. W. 1965. Community composition, structure, and interrelationships in the marine intertidal *Endocladia muricata - Balanus glandula* association in Monterey Bay, California. Beaufortia 12:1–198.

Hines, A. H. 1978. Reproduction in three species of intertidal barnacles from central California. *Biological Bulletin* 154:262–281.

Houghton, J. P., D. C. Lees, W. B. Driskell, and S. C. Lindstrom. 1998. Long-term recovery (1989–1996) of Prince William Sound littoral biota following the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill and subsequent shoreline treatment. NOAAWASC Contract No. 52ABNC-2-00050.

Kanter, R. G. 1980. Biogeographic patterns in mussel community distribution from the southern California Bight. Pages 341-355. *in*: D.M. Power, editor. The California islands: Proceedings of a multidisciplinary symposium. Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, Santa Barbara, California.

Kinnetics Laboratories, Inc. 1992. Study of the rocky intertidal communities of Central and Northern California. Report to the Minerals Management Service. OCS Study MMS 91-0089. Los Angeles, California.

Littler, M. M. and S. N. Murray. 1975. Impact of sewage on the distribution, abundance, and community structure of rocky intertidal macro-organisms. *Marine Biology* 30:277–91.

Lohse, D. P. 1993. The effects of substratum type on the population dynamics of three common intertidal animals. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 173:133–154.

MacGinitie, G. E. and N. MacGinitie. 1968. Natural history of marine animals. 2nd edition. McGraw Hill, New York.

McGary, C. M.. 2005. A long term comparison of rocky intertidal communites in Redwood National and State Parks. M. S. Thesis, Humboldt State University, Arcata, California.

Miner, M., P. T. Raimondi, R. F. Ambrose, J. M. Engle, and S. N. Murray. 2005. Monitoring of rocky intertidal resources along the central and southern California Mainland: Comprehensive report (1992–2003) for San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, and Orange Countries. OCS Study. U.S. Minerals Management Service MMS 05-071. Camarillo, California.

Morris, R. H., D. P. Abbott, and E. C. Haderlie. 1980. Intertidal Invertebrates of California. Stanford University Press, Stanford, California.

Paine, R. T. 1966. Food web complexity and species diversity. *American Naturalist* 100:65-75.

Paine, R. T. 1974. Intertidal community structure: experimental studies on the relationship between a dominant competitor and its principal predator. *Oecologia* 15:93-120.

Raimondi P.T., R. F.Ambrose, J. M. Engle, S. N. Murray, and M. Wilson. 1999. Monitoring of rocky intertidal resources along the central and southern California mainland. 3-Year Report for San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, and Orange Counties (Fall1995-Spring 1998). OCS Study, U.S. Minerals Management Service, Pacific OCS Region MMS 99-0032. Camarillo, California.

Raimondi, P.T. R. D. Sagarin, R. F. Ambrose, C. Bell, M. George, S. F. Lee, D. Lohse, C. M. Miner, and S. N. Murray. 2007. Consistent Frequency of Color Morphs in the Sea Star *Pisaster ochraceus* (Echinodermata: Asteriidae) across Open-Coast Habitats in the Northeastern Pacific. *Pacific Science* 61:201–210.

Ricketts, E. G., J. Calvin, J. Hedgepeth, and D. W. Phillips. 1985. Between Pacific tides, 5th ed., revised by J. Hedgepeth. Stanford University Press, Palo Alto, California.

Sagarin, R. D., J. P. Barry, S. E. Gillman, and C. H. Baxter. 1999. Climate-related change in an intertidal community over short and long time scales. *Ecological Monographs* 69:465–490.

Turner, T. 1983. Complexity of early and middle successional stages in a rocky intertidal surfgrass community. *Oecologia* 60:56–65.

Turner, T. 1985. Stability of rocky intertidal surfgrass beds: persistence, preemption, and recovery. *Ecology* 66:83–92.

Vesco, L. L. and R. Gillard. 1980. Recovery of benthic marine populations along the Pacific Coast of the United States following man-made and natural disturbances including pertinent life history information. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management Service, POCS Reference Paper No. 53-4.

Appendix A: Species Monitored

Target, Core and Optional Species Defined

The definitions of monitored species is adapted from the MARINe handbook (Engle 2005).

Target Species: "Target" species (also called key or indicator species) are species or species groups specifically chosen for long-term monitoring. They dominate particular zones or biotic assemblages in rocky intertidal habitats. The criteria for selecting target species include the following:

- Species ecologically important in structuring intertidal communities.
- Species that are competitive dominants or major predators.
- Species that are abundant, conspicuous or large.
- Species whose presence provides numerous microhabitats for other organisms.
- Species that are slow growing and long-lived.
- Species that have interesting distributions along California coasts.
- Species found throughout California shores.
- Species characteristic of discrete intertidal heights.
- Species that are rare, unique, or found only in a particular intertidal habitat.
- Species approaching their biogeographic limits in California.
- Species that have been well studied, with extensive literature available.
- Species of special human interest.
- Species vulnerable and/or sensitive to human impacts, especially from oil spills.
- Species with special legal status.
- Introduced or invasive species.
- Species harvested by sport or commercial activities.
- Practical species for long-term monitoring.
- Readily identifiable, non-cryptic species.
- Sessile or sedentary species of reasonable size.
- Species located high enough in the intertidal to permit sufficient time to sample.

Currently, there are **18 designated target species monitored by MARINe***: *Egregia menziezii*, <u>*Fucus gardneri*</u>, *Hedophyllum sessile*, *Hesperophycus californicus*, <u>*Pelvetiopsis limitata*</u>, *Silvetia compressa*, <u>*Endocladia muricata*</u>, *Neorhodomela larix*, <u>*Phyllospadix scouleri/torreyi*</u>, *Anthopleuraelegantissima/sola*, <u>*Mytilus californianus*</u>, *Lottia gigantea*, *Haliotis cracherodii*, <u>*Chthamalus dalli/fissus/Balanus glandula*</u>, *Semibalanus cariosus*, *Tetraclita rubescens*, *Pollicipes polymerus*, and <u>*Pisaster ochraceus*</u> .
*underlined species are currently monitored at RNSP sites.

Designated target species have the highest priority for monitoring. They are monitored at as many sites as possible. If the species is present in sufficient numbers and it is logistically possible, plots or transects are established to monitor it. More information on target species (e.g., photos and how to identify) can be found on the MARINe public website.

Core Species: "Core" species are those **species, species groups, or substrates that are**

scored using one or more survey methods by everyone in MARINe. Core species must be reasonably and consistently identifiable using the designated scoring protocol (e.g., from labscored photos of fixed plots possibly supplemented by plot sketches/notes). They also must be important enough to warrant scoring for abundance trends. Some of these species only occur at northern sites, or conversely, southern sites, yet to ensure that we notice if they expand their range, we must score everywhere. All target species are core species. It is important that **scorers in all monitoring groups be able to identify and record all core species. Data sheets must include all core species**, though core species that are absent or rarely occur at a site can be deemphasized. Entries for all core species will be required for data submission to the MARINe database.

Optional Species: "Optional" species are **non-core species or species groups that one or more monitoring groups choose to score at their sites; however, for various reasons, are not appropriate or feasible for all groups to score**. Since optional species will not be scored by everyone, coast-wide comparisons of trends for these species will be limited or not possible. However, all groups sampling MARINe north sites (NorCal, RNSP and Oregon) use the same list of optional species.

Appendix B: Natural History of Target Species

These brief descriptions provide context for the selection of these target species by including information on life history, ecological importance, and sensitivity to anthropogenic activities. Descriptions of the natural history of the target species monitored in this study have been adapted with permission from the MMS report (Miner et. al. 2005).

Endocladia muricata

Distinctive dark bands of the low-growing red turfweed, *Endocladia muricata*, are characteristic of nearly all high rocky intertidal shores of the northern Pacific Coast. *Endocladia* forms dense 4-8 cm tall, perennial tufts made up of tiny spine-covered branchlets (Abbott & Hollenberg 1976). Together with spiny-bladed *Mastocarpus papillatus*, the *Endocladia*/*Mastocarpus* carpet traps sediment and seawater, thus providing a sheltered microhabitat for a host of small organisms, including other algae, worms, crustaceans, and mollusks. Glynn (1965) found over 90 species associated with *Endocladia* clumps in Monterey. Turfweed can also provide habitat for attachment of young mussels. Expanding mussel patches may displace *Endocladia*, but it can then grow on the mussel shells, creating a layered assemblage. Some *Endocladia* clumps appear donut- or crescent-shaped; this condition may be caused by storms tearing out center areas possibly weakened by accumulated anoxic sediment. *Endocladia* is hardy and quite resistant to desiccation, yet vulnerable to oiling from spills. Recovery from natural or human disturbances may vary from one to more than six years (Kinnetics 1992).

***Phyllospadix* spp.**

Surfgrass (*Phyllospadix* spp.) is one of only two types of marine flowering plants on the West Coast. Surfgrass attaches by short roots to rock on surf-swept shores from the low intertidal down to 10-15 m depths. The 0.5-2 m tall, bright green grass, commonly occurs in dense perennial beds formed primarily by vegetative growth from spreading rhizomes. Two species (*P. torreyi* & *P. scouleri*) overlap in geographical distribution and morphological characteristics (see Dawson and Foster 1982). *P. torreyi* generally has longer (1-2 m), narrower (1-2 mm) leaves, longer flower stems with several spadices, and occurs more in semi-protected habitats as well as at deeper depths. *P. scouleri* tends to have shorter (<50 cm), broader (2-4 mm) leaves, shorter flower stems with 1-2 spadices, and is found more often in wave-swept intertidal areas. Surfgrass meadows are highly productive ecosystems, providing structurally complex microhabitats for a rich variety of epiphytes, epibenthos, and infauna. Some organisms, such as the red algae *Smithora naiadum* and *Melobesia mediocris*, are exclusive epiphytes on surfgrass (or eelgrass) (Abbott &Hollenberg 1976). *Phyllospadix* beds provide nursery habitat for various fishes and invertebrates. Surfgrass cannot tolerate much heat or drying; the leaves will bleach quickly when midday low tides occur during hot, calm-water periods. Surfgrass can be particularly sensitive to sewage discharge (Littler and Murray 1975) and oil pollution (see Foster et al.1988). Recovery can be relatively rapid if the rhizome systems remain functional, but might take many years if entire beds are lost, because recruitment is irregular and must be facilitated by the presence of perennial turf algae to which surfgrass seeds attach (Turner 1983, 1985).

Chthamalus dalli* and *Balanus glandula

White acorn barnacles, *Chthamalus dalli* and *Balanus glandula*, typically dominate high intertidal zones along the Pacific North Coast. Acorn barnacle species can be difficult to distinguish, especially in photographic monitoring. *C. dalli* are smaller (to 8mm) than *Balanus glandula* (to 22 mm) which are whiter in color and have differing shell plate arrangements. Acorn barnacles spawn often, at variable times throughout the year (Hines 1978), and settle in extremely high densities (to 70,000/m^2), forming distinct white bands along the upper intertidal that contain few other invertebrates except littorines and the hardiest limpets. *Balanus* can out compete *Chthamalus* by crowding or smothering, but *Chthamalus* can occupy higher tide levels than *Balanus*, because it is more resistant to desiccation. Slightly lower down, acorn barnacles mix in with the *Endocladia* assemblage, and are common on mussel shells. *Chthamalus* species grow rapidly, but only survive a few months to a few years. *Balanus* can live longer (to 10 years), but its larger size and lower tidal position subject it to higher levels of mortality from predatory gastropods and ochre sea stars.

White acorn barnacles are highly vulnerable to smothering from oil spills because floating oil often sticks along the uppermost tidal levels. Significant, widespread barnacle impacts were reported after the 1969 Santa Barbara oil platform blow-out (Foster et al. 1971) and the 1971 collision of two tankers off San Francisco (Chan 1973). However, high recruitment rates may promote relatively rapid recovery of acorn barnacles; disturbance recovery times ranging from several months to several years have been reported (see Vesco & Gillard 1980).

A condition referred to as “hummocking” was observed in acorn barnacles at several sites. Hummocking occurs in response to high recruitment densities and growth rates, which intensify competition for primary substrate space (Bertness et. al. 1997). This condition causes crowded barnacles to grow up instead of out until they eventually grow so high that they are susceptible to removal by wave action. Evidence of hummocking was observed at all three monitored sites within RNSP. Frequently, large patches of barnacles would be entirely removed from one sampling period to the next.

Mytilus californianus

The California mussel, *Mytilus californianus*, is abundant at middle to low levels of exposed rocky shores along the entire Pacific Coast. These 10-20 cm black/blue/gray mussels firmly attach to rocks or other mussels by tough byssal threads, forming dense patches or beds. The literature on *Mytilus californianus* is extensive, including key ecological studies on the effects of predation, grazing, and disturbance on succession and community structure (Morris et al. 1980, Ricketts et al. 1985, Kinnetics 1992). Thick (>20 cm) beds of California mussels trap water, sediment, and detritus that provide food and shelter for an incredible diversity of plants and animals, including cryptic forms inhabiting spaces between mussels as well as biota attached to mussel shells (Paine 1966, MacGinitie & MacGinitie 1968, Kanter 1980, Lohse 1993). For example, MacGinitie & MacGinitie (1968) counted 625 mussels and 4,096 other invertebrates in a single 25 cm^2 clump, and Kanter (1980) identified 610 species of animals and 141 species of algae from mussel beds at the Channel Islands. Mussels feed on suspended detritus and plankton. Young mussels settle preferentially into existing beds at irregular intervals, grow at variable rates depending on environmental conditions, and eventually reach ages of 8 years or more (see Morris et al. 1980, Ricketts et al. 1985). Mussels can tolerate typical rigors of intertidal life quite successfully. However, desiccation likely limits the upper extent of mussel beds, storms tear out various-sized mussel patches, and sea stars prey especially on lower zone mussels. *Mytilus* are adversely affected by oil spills (Chan 1973, Foster et al. 1971). Recovery from disturbance varies from fairly rapid (if clearings are small and surrounded by mussels that can move in) to periods greater than 10 years (if clearings are large and recruitment is necessary for recolonization (Vesco & Gillard 1980; Kinnetics 1992).

Pisaster ochraceus

The ochre sea star, *Pisaster ochraceus*, is found on middle and low tide levels of waveswept, rocky coasts from Alaska to Baja California. Its relatively large size (to 45 cm diameter), variety of colors (yellow, orange, purple, brown), and ability to withstand air exposure (at least 8 hours) attract considerable attention from visitors exploring the shore at low tide. The ochre sea star typically is associated with mussels, which constitute its chief food, but barnacles, limpets, snails, and chitons also may be taken (Morris et al. 1980). Predator-prey interactions involving ochre sea stars have been intensely studied, especially the role of *P.ochraceus* in determining the lower limit of northern mussel beds (Paine 1966, 1974: Dayton 1971). Ochre sea stars are relatively slow-growing, long-lived, and apparently variable in recruitment success. They are tolerant of high surf, using their numerous tube feet to remain firmly in place, often in cracks and crevices. They have few predators, except for curious tidepool visitors. Sensitivity to oil spills is not well known; Chan (1973) saw no obvious effects from a San Francisco oil spill. Recovery time from any major population loss likely would be very long.

Pelvetiopsis limitata

The rockweed, *Pelvetiopsis limitata* is described as light tan to olive; densely branched, cylindrical at the base becoming flattened to cylindrical in the upper fronds; dichotomous; thalli 4-8 cm tall; (Abott and Hollenberg 1976). This algae is seen commonly in the upper intertidal of more wave-exposed sites in RNSP. *Pelvitiopsis* ranges on the Pacific coast from Vancouver Island, British Columbia, to Cambria (San Luis Obispo County), California. Little scientific attention has been given to *Pelvetiopsis* so little is known about its reproductive periodicity, longevity, or ecology.

Fucus gardneri

Another common rockweed in RNSP, *Fucus gardneri,* has similar olive brown, branching, dichotomous thalli morphology to *Pelvetiopsis*. It is distinguished by having taller thalli, 10-25 cm, and prominent midribs in older portions (Abott and Hollenberg 1976). This conspicuous brown alga is commonly found in the mid to high intertidal on rocks. Many species of invertebrates find vital shelter from the harsh conditions characteristic of the intertidal, within the fronds of rockweeds such as *Fucus* and *Pelvetiopsis*. Fucus is also a food source for many gastropods (Houghton et al. 1998). Recovery time of *Fucus gardneri* after the Exxon Valdez oil spill of 1989 was studied. Results suggested that the population dynamics and structure did not fully recover seven years after the spill despite initial biomass recovery (Driskell et al. 2001).

The Department of the Interior protects and manages the nation's natural resources and cultural heritage; provides scientific and other information about those resources; and honors its special responsibilities to American Indians, Alaska Natives, and affiliated Island Communities.

NPS 167/106885, February 2011

National Park Service
U.S. Department of the Interior

Natural Resource Program Center
1201 Oakridge Drive, Suite 150
Fort Collins, CO 80525

www.nature.nps.gov

EXPERIENCE YOUR AMERICA ™